

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,274	03/10/2004	David Kirchhoff	03968-P0001E	2954
24126 7590 11/25/2008 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			EXAMINER	
986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		BROOKS, MATTHEW L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/797,274 KIRCHHOFF ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MATTHEW L. BROOKS 3629 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/797,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b)
 as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking
 claim. Election was made without traverse by way of telephone by Mr. Todd Oberdick
 at telephone number 203-324-6155 on 11/20/2008 and elected to pursue claims 10-16.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for "sharing recipes of a user", classified in class 705, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 10-16, drawn to a system for generating and displaying a single, composite nutritional indicator for a serving of a multiple ingredient recipe, may be classified in class 705, subclass 2.
- 3. In making a decision as to whether a Restriction is proper Examiner is to compare the claimed subject matter. MPEP 806.01 During the comparison the claims are considered to be in proper form and patentable/novel. Thus, Examiner must show the inventions are "independent" and/or "distinct". The inventions in this case are distinct.

Inventions Group I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for

Art Unit: 3629

patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations, (MPEP 806.05(c)). In the instant case the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a "processor for calculating the single, composite nutritional indicator for a serving of the recipe from the user information" and the subcombination has a separate utility such as a consumer posting any information on a website like that of who is on their fantasy team

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: The "wherein" clause fails to add any patentable weight. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim limitation 10 (c) in the processor already is operable to compute composite nutritional indicator.

Application/Control Number: 10/797.274 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the nutritional indicators" and

up till then there are none. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the

claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9 Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6356,940 (Short).
- With respect to claim 10: Short teaches a system for generating and displaying a 10. single, composite nutritional indicator for a serving of a multiple ingredient recipe, the recipe being supplied by the user of the system, said system comprising:

a user interface for receiving from the user the identity and amount of the ingredients of the recipe and serving size information (Fig 1, 150);

Application/Control Number: 10/797,274

Art Unit: 3629

a database containing nutritional data for common recipe ingredients including the recipe ingredients identified by the user (Fig 2);

a processor for calculating the single, composite nutritional indicator for a serving of the recipe from the user information and the database data (Fig 2, 220 and Fig 3, 330); and

a display field for displaying the calculated composite nutritional indicator for a serving of the recipe (Fig 3, 350).

- 11. With respect to claim 11: Short teaches wherein the calculation of the composite nutritional indicator takes into account plural nutritional parameters for each ingredient and wherein the composite nutritional indicator is utilized in planning daily food intake to achieve weight control (C6, 50-65).
- With respect to claim 12: Short teaches further comprising a computational software element operable to compute the single, composite nutritional indicator(Fig 2, 220 and Fig 3, 330).
- 13. With respect to claim 13: Short teaches wherein the user interface further includes individual nutritional indicator display fields operable to display the nutritional indicators associated with each ingredient of the recipe (C6, 1-15 and C7, 10-20).
- 14. With respect to claim 14: Short teaches wherein the user interface further includes selectable indicia operable to be selected to include or remove the ingredients of the recipe (C2, 55-61 "make suggestion" then able to replace or deny).

Art Unit: 3629

15. With respect to **claim 15**: Short teaches a user interface includes a selectable list of the ingredients associated with at least one of a food manufacturer and a restaurant (C2, 20 -30 and C8).

16. With respect to claim 16: Short teaches further comprising a second database operable to maintain a history of consumption of the multiple ingredient recipes and associated single, composite nutritional indicators (C6, 1-15 and C7, 10-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW L. BROOKS whose telephone number is (571)272-8112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday; 8 AM - 5 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MLB 11/21/08

/John G. Weiss/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629